

226

THE HISTORIC VALUES COMMITTEE met June 25, 1963. Present were Dr. Nichols, Chairman, Mr. Williams and Dr. Tinkcom.

The Committee recommends the following buildings in Washington Square West for certification by the Commission:

1232 Pine St.
1205, 1207, 1209, 1211, 1213, 1215, 1217 Spruce St.
1202, 1206, 1210, 1212, 1214, 1216 Spruce St.
1319, 1321 Locust St.
258 S. 9th St.
101 S. 22nd St.

The Committee recommends that Mr. William Duesing, a senior at Yale with a major in architecture, be given a contract not to exceed \$100.00 for assisting with the field survey and photographing in Washington Square West and in Rittenhouse Square area.

The Committee would recommend that the Commission consider the following statement to be added to its list of criteria for certifying buildings:

When certifying a building because of its association with a man or with an event important in Philadelphia history, the Historical Commission declares the building to be worthy of preservation without regard to its architectural features. Similarly when a building is certified because it illustrates the changing taste in Philadelphia, and is, therefore, as important a part of the archives of social history as a diary or a box of letters, the Historical Commission does not recommend its preservation because of its aesthetic values. The Commission does maintain, however, that the building in question is an eloquent example of what Philadelphians of a certain period thought was a good building and it recommends its preservation in the same way that it would recommend the retention of any document which illustrated this same point.

The Committee would recommend that the Commission consider the following statement to be added to its list of criteria for certifying buildings:

x

When certifying a building because of its association with a man or with an event important in Philadelphia history, the Historical Commission declares the building to be worthy of preservation without regard to its architectural features. Similarly when a building is certified because it illustrates the changing taste in Philadelphia, and is, therefore, as important a part of the archives of social history as a diary or a box of letters, the Historical Commission does not recommend its preservation because of its aesthetic values. The Commission does maintain, however, that the building in question is an eloquent example of what Philadelphians of a certain period thought was a good building and it recommends its preservation in the same way that it would recommend the retention of any document which illustrated this same point.